COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Accountancy Corporation ("Rager") is an accounting fin	rm of unknown	form, who	se principal	place
of business is in the County of Los Angeles, California.				

- 4. Defendant Rossi Doskocil & Finkelstein, LLP ("Rossi") is an accounting firm, practicing as a limited liability partnership, whose principal place of business is in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 5. Defendant Brad Doskocil ("Doskocil"), is, and all times herein mentioned was, a certified public accountant licensed to practice at least in the State of California. On information and belief, Doskocil is a citizen of the State of California.

## JURISDICTION

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this Complaint, pursuant to 28 USC 1332, as the parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

## VENUE

- 7. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California under 28 USC 1391(a), in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in said District.
- 8. Assignment to the San Jose Division is proper in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in said Division.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE)

- Defendants Rager, Rossi, and Doskocil, and each of them, rendered to Plaintiffs 9. accounting, income tax planning, income tax return preparation, and audit representation services with respect to Plaintiffs' Federal and State income tax obligations and returns for calendar years 1999, 2000, and 2001.
- Defendants Rager, Rossi, and Doskocil, and each of them, failed to exercise the 10. proper degree of knowledge and skill common to Certified Public Accountants. The negligence of Defendants Rager, Rossi, and Doskocil, and each of them, was a substantial contributing factor to the issuance to Plaintiffs, by the Internal Revenue Service, of notices of deficiencies and assessments of additional taxes, interest and penalties due in amounts not yet determined exactly, but totaling in the millions of dollars, and further to Plaintiffs being required to retain the services of other professionals to assist them in negotiating with, and contesting, the notices of deficiencies and

## Case5:11-cv-00386-LHK Document1 Filed01/26/11 Page3 of 3

	1	assessments of the Internal Revenue Service, all to Plaintiffs' damage in an amount to be determined				
	2	at trial.				
	3	WHEREFORE, Plaintin	ffs pray judgment as follows:			
	4	1. For damages, acc	cording to proof;			
	5	2. For costs;				
	6	3. For interest; and				
7	7	4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.				
	8					
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9	Demand for Jury Trial				
	10					
	11	Dated: January 26, 2011	RICE & BRONITSKY			
	12					
	13		Paul E. Rice			
	14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Steven Nerayoff and SN LaGuardia Investors, Inc.			
	15					
	16					
	17					
	18					
	19					
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					
		Ī				